UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,640	10/07/2005	Peter Kammerhofer	64223(52059)	9136	
	7590 12/10/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 5587		KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR			
BOSTON, MA	02203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ap	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10	0/552,640	KAMMERHOFER ET AL.				
		Ех	aminer	Art Unit				
		sı	JDHAKAR KATAKAM	1621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	s on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	ss			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10 Augus	st 2009					
,	•		ion is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>′</i> —		secution as to the me	erits is			
- /	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-15</u> is/are pend	ing in the appl	ication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
′=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		od or h) Objected to by the l	=vaminer				
ا (۱۰	Applicant may not request that any object		-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to							
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pric	ority under 35 H S C -8 110/a	\-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ioi ioreign pric	only under 55 0.0.0. § 115(a))-(u) or (i).				
۵/۱	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ve been received					
				on No				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office actio	•		ed.				
			,					
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
_	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the application

- 1. Receipt of applicant's remarks and arguments filed on 10 Aug 2009 is acknowledged.
- 2. However, the arguments for the 103(a) rejections are not found persuasive and as such, the previous rejection has been maintained for the reasons of record made on made on 11 March 2009.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 10 Aug 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner acknowledges applicant's argument that instant invention has an external heatable and separately regulatable heat exchanger, which allows for prevention of pressure and temperature fluctuation in the system; whereas Link et al teach a heat exchanger which is not externally heatable and separately regulatable, and therefore, pressure and temperature fluctuations in the system according to Link et al can not be prevented.

The examiner contends, however, that the cited secondary reference teach the external heat exchangers for an analogous process. **Dummer et al** teach a method for the production of vinyl chloride by thermal cracking of 1,2-dichloroethane by using a quench column and a heat exchanger, with the temperature ranging from 480° to 540°C, down to 150° to 250°C. The heat exchanger are externally located, see heat exchangers 14, 16 and 21 in the Figure. Therefore, a skilled person would be obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/552,640 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

to a skilled person in the art, to modify Link et al process using the external heat exchangers taught by Link et al and to arrive at instantly claimed process with a reasonable expectation of success.

With regard to applicants' declaration, the examiner asserts that these modifications are within the purview of a skilled person in the art. **Dummer et al** showed the teachings of external heat exchangers in an analogous process. Therefore, applicants comparative data and their unexpected results are, in fact, are expected with their modifications.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,640 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

6. Claims 4-10 and 12-15 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Link et al** (US 4,798,914) in view of **Dummer et al** (US 4,822,932) for the reasons of record as set forth in the office action on 11 March 2009.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136 (a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no even, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

- 9. No Claim is allowed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhakar Katakam whose telephone number is 571-272-9929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,640 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sudhakar Katakam/ Patent Examiner

/Karl J. Puttlitz/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621